C. W. 71 & 72—658,414—21-11-29.

C.F. 71 & 72.

1933.

Department of Land Rev

OFFICE Bd of Rev

STATION Madras

Mis No. 3232.

Dated 23-11-33

To whom sent		Date	Initial
	Given for copying		
	Copied		
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched		
	Indexed		
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on*		

(SUBJECT)

alienation. Land, Revenue Board (S. Kanara). Udupi Tk. - Bommarabetta Vge. S No 53 - Inspection Memo

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

Ref. B--3449--33.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 13th July 1933. B-3760

From

E. M. Gawne, Esq, I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
M a d r a s.

S i r,

Alienation:- Land and revenue--Udipi Taluk--Bommarbettu village--S.No. 53--Inspection shed--resumption.

S. No. 53 measuring 34 cents of Bommarbettu village, Udipi Taluk, was alienated in this office order R. Dis. 7695/28 dated 19th November 1929 to the District Board, South Kanara, for an inspection shed. The walls of the shed have fallen. The District Board does not intend to rebuild the shed and has resolved that the land may be resumed. I request, therefore, that the resumption of the land may be sanctioned and the District Board may be permitted to remove or to sell the materials of the building. The Board had planted some trees on the land. It may also be allowed to remove or sell them.

2. Dis. file 7695 R of 1928 is submitted.

Your obedient servant,

Collector.

S. CANARA C.

REMINDER.

Rev
LR

DEPARTMENT.

Branch. 28 SEP 1933.

C.F. 98.

BOARD OF REVENUE
LAND REVENUE

(a)

To THE Collector
21 SEP
of S. Kanara

To THE Secretary to the Board of Land Revenue Madras

The undersigned ~~has the honour to invite~~ is directed to invite ~~invites~~ attention to the communication noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned ~~at once~~ with information as to—

B.dis.Ref 83740/33-1 dated 20.7.33

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,
(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and
(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than 2-10-33

Office of the BOR Rev Section
Station Madras (BD)
Dated 20-9-33

Signature
Designation Supdt
20/9

SUBJECT.

question of Law Mer
Lipizh. Bonn armbetridge
rejection Shed - Resumption

Sir,

The resolution of the District Board agreeing to the resumption has not been received. Meanwhile the board is reconsidering the question whether the land here to be surrendered at all. I have called for its proposals & hope to submit a reply by 10. 10. 33.

Yours obedt servt

Secretary to the Board of Land Revenue Madras

Rev 22-9-33

4(b)

JoAS(LR)

The collector may be reminded again on 15-10-37 if no reply is received by that time

20.9.37

5/

Ref. B3--3449--33.

South Kanara Collector's Office,

Mangalore, dated 14th October 1933.



From

E. M. Gawne, Esq, I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue & Settlement,

M a d r a s

S i r,

Alienation-- Land and revenue--Udipi Taluk--Bommarbettu village--S. No. 53--inspection shed.

Ref Board's reference B. 3740/33/1 dated 20th July 1933.

Para 1 of the reference:-

The District Board is reconsidering the question of surrendering the land and may probably desire to retain it owing to its proximity to the site of the travellers' bungalow in the village.

Para 2 of the reference:-

The trees belonging to Government were sold in public auction. The price obtained was Rs.50/-. This amount was credited into the Udipi Sub treasury on 9th January 1930.

Para 3 of the reference:-

It will be seen from this office file R. Dis. 9695/28 submitted herewith that there were two cases of alienation disposed of in that file. One related to the travellers' bungalow in the same village and the other to the inspection shed. Sanction of Government was obtained for the alienation of the bungalow--please see pages 99 to 101 of the file. I am unable to state why such sanction was not obtained for alienating the inspection shed. Apparently it was presumed that the Collector was competent to sanction the alienation in this case.

Your obedient servant,

Collector. 17.X.33

Reservation: Reserve
Res. cum Building
(S. Kamana) Udipi
Taluk - Bommara-
bettu Vge. Sno 53--
Inspection Reds

B 3740/33 9

B 5736/33 9

Ref B3740-33-2 9/28/10.33

The Collector is
requested to submit
a further report soon
after the District
Board arrives at a
decision in the matter

28/10/33

p 1 c6
p 5 4

DESPATCHED
30 OCT 1933

To The Conv of
S Kamana

Note

Please see para 1 of
Con's letter at p 5 4

28/10/33

155140

B-6231

Ref. B3--3449--33.

South Kanara Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 6th November 1933.



From

E. M. Gawne, Esq, I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue & Settlement
Madras.

Sir,

Alienation--Land and building--Udipi Taluk--Bommarbettu village--S. No. 53 (34 cents)--Inspection shed--further report submitted.

Ref: Board's reference B. No. 3740--33-2 dated 28th October 1933.

The District Board has since resolved to retain the plot and to include it in the travellers' bungalow compound. The bungalow is situated on S. No. 52 lying close to S. No. 53. I see no objection to the proposal.

2. I have already reported in my letter Ref. B3--3449/33 dated 13th July 1933 that the walls of the inspection shed have fallen. The land only will have to be alienated. As there is no change in the alienee but only in the object of alienation a fresh order of resumption does not seem to be necessary. A revised order of alienation seems to be sufficient.

Your obedient servant,

Collector.

C.F. 16—24,90,350—30-10-30. (6)

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

When given to fair copying

Fair-copied by

Fair-copy compared by

When despatched

Office.

From

Subject. Alienation. Land, Res and building (S. Kanara) Udipi Taluk. Bommarbettu S.No 53- Suspension sanc.

To

THE

B5734/33 @ pp5~~6~~
B6231/33 @ pp 9 c/s

Res (Mis) 3232 @ 23.11.

The land in question with the building thereon was alienated in favour of the District Board, South Kanara for cattle inspection purposes. It is now required for ~~the~~ purposes but is needed for ~~extending~~ the ~~extension~~ to the compound of the Travellers bungalow. The Board considers that the site should be ~~desirable to~~ formally resumed ~~to the site~~ and reallotted for the purpose of a compound for the Dy Travellers bungalow. It therefore sanctions

S. No 5 of Bommarbettu
Village, Udupi Taluk
measuring 34 cents
which was alienated
in favour of the District
Board, South Kanara
for which the Collector's
R.Dis 7695-28-B/19.11.29 Lr.No 3610/06 dt (W)
for an inspection had
the Collector is requested
to submit proposals
for the realisation
the land, if it is not
within his sanctioning
2 The Board approves
the Collector's proposal
to permit the District
Board, South Kanara
to remove or sell the
materials of the building
and also the trees planted
by it

23.11.33

22/11/33

22/11

To the Collector
S. Kanara

Note File

alienation Land and building (S. Kanara) - Udipi Taluk. Bommarabettu Vge. S No 53 - Inspection fees

B3740/33 ₹ 1 cf
B5734/33 ₹ 5 cf
B6231/33 ₹ 5 cf

J. A. S (LR)

Please see the collector's letter at ₹ 1 cf, the Board's reference at ₹ 3 & 4 cf, the collector's report at ₹ 5 & 9 cf

2 In G.O. their order no 2321 Mis Rev of 6-11-29, the Govt sanctioned the alienation of S. No 52 of Bommarabettu Vge, Udipi Tal measuring 1.29 acres ~~in~~ with buildings thereon in favour of the District Board, South Kanara for use as the site of a travellers' bungalow S No 53 of the same ~~village~~ Vge containing a building. The District Board requested for an inspection fees and as the collector sanctions the same in his R Dis) 695/32 of 19-11-29.

have been obtained for the relinquishment of the land as it contained a building. The Collector is unable to state why the sanction of the Govt was not obtained. The walls of the superstructure have fallen and the District Board wants to include S.No.53 in the Travellers Bungalow compound. The Collector has no objection to the proposal and considers that no ~~fresh~~ order of resumption is necessary. The land was originally alienated for an Inspection Shed. The building no longer exists and the land is to be used as a compound to the Travellers Bungalow. The proper course seems to be to resume the land and relinquish it for including it in the compound subject to the conditions that are applicable for the present purpose of the Travellers Bungalow. The District Board has agreed to the resumption and hence the Board may sanction the resumption instruct the Collector to take steps to relinquish it for the ~~inclusion~~ in the compound of the Travellers Bungalow

3. the walls of the inspection shed have fallen. The Collector recommends that the District Board may be permitted to remove or to sell the materials of the building. As it belongs to the Govt., the District Board is not entitled to the sale proceeds of the materials. The Collector's recommendation is evidently based on the fact that the amount realised may not be much. It is for orders whether the Collector's proposal may be approved whether the Collector may be requested to sell the materials and credit the sale proceeds to Govt.

Probably not worth the trouble involved
Rs 15.11.33
Ans 20-11 I appeal
20.11.33

Sd/-
(15.11.)

Sd/-
14/11

SECY.
20 NOV 1933

To A S (RR)
In appeal
Rs 23.11.33

Sd/-
22-11-33

Sd/-
24/11